

# Partially Ordered Sets

September 29, 2008

**Definition.** A relation on a set  $X$  is a subset  $R$  of the product set  $X \times X$ . A relation  $R$  on  $X$  is called

1. *reflexive* if  $xRx$  for all  $x \in X$ ;
2. *irreflexive* if  $x \not R x$  for all  $x \in X$ ;
3. *symmetric* provided that if  $xRy$  for some  $x, y \in X$  then  $yRx$ ;
4. *antisymmetric* provided that if  $xRy$  and  $yRx$  for some  $x, y \in X$  then  $x = y$ ;
5. *transitive* provided that if  $xRy$  and  $yRz$  for some  $x, y, z \in X$  then  $xRz$ .

**Example.**

- (1) The relation of subset,  $\subseteq$ , is a reflexive and transitive relation on the power set  $P(X)$ .
- (2) The relation of divisibility,  $|$ , is a reflexive and transitive relation on the set of positive integers.

A *partial order* on a set  $X$  is a reflexive, antisymmetric, and transitive relation.

A *strict partial order* on a set  $X$  is an irreflexive, antisymmetric, and transitive relation. If a relation  $R$  is a partial order, we usually denote  $R$  by  $\leq$ ; then the relation  $<$  defined by  $a < b$  if and only if  $a \leq b$  but  $a \neq b$  is a strict partial order.

Conversely, for a strict partial order  $<$  on a set  $X$ , the relation  $\leq$  defined by  $a \leq b$  if and only if  $a < b$  or  $a = b$  is a partial order.

A set  $X$  with a partial order  $\leq$  is called a *partially ordered set* (or *poset for short*) and is denoted by  $(X, \leq)$ .

Posets can be represented geometrically by *diagrams*. The *cover relation*  $<_c$  is defined by

$$a <_c b \quad \text{iff} \quad a < b \quad \text{and there is no } c \text{ such that } a < c < b.$$

1. Find the cover relation for  $\subseteq$ .
2. Find the cover relation for the relation of divisibility,  $|$ .
3. Draw the diagram representing the poset  $(P(\{1, 2, 3\}), \subseteq)$ .
4. Draw the diagram representing the poset  $(\{1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6, 7, 8, 9, 10\}, |)$ .

A *linear order*, or *total order* on a set  $X$  is a strict order  $<$  such that for any two distinct elements  $a$  and  $b$ , either  $a < b$  or  $b < a$ .

An element  $a$  of a poset  $X$  is called *minimal* if  $b \leq a$  implies  $a = b$  for any  $b \in X$ .

An element  $a$  of a poset  $X$  is called the *smallest* if  $a \leq b$  for any  $b \in X$ .

**Theorem.** Let  $X$  be a finite set. There is one-to-one correspondence between the total orders on  $X$  and the permutations of  $X$ .

Let  $\leq_1$  and  $\leq_2$  be two partial orders on a set  $X$ . The poset  $(X, \leq_2)$  is called an *extension* of the poset  $(X, \leq_1)$  if, whenever  $a \leq_1 b$ , then  $a \leq_2 b$ . In particular, an extension of a partial order has more comparable pairs.

We show that every finite poset has a *linear extension*, that is, an extension which is a linearly ordered set.

**Theorem** Let  $(X, \leq)$  be a finite partially ordered set. Then there is a linear order  $\preceq$  such that  $(X, \preceq)$  is an extension of  $(X, \leq)$ .

Proof. We need to show that the elements of  $X$  can be listed in some order  $\{x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n\}$  in such a way that if  $x_i \leq x_j$  then  $x_i$  comes before  $x_j$  in this list, i.e.,  $i \leq j$ . The following algorithm does the job.

**Algorithm** for a linear extension of an  $n$ -poset:

Step 1. Choose a minimal element  $x_1$  from  $X$  (with respect to the ordering  $\leq$ ).

Step 2. Delete  $x_1$  from  $X$ ; choose a minimal element  $x_2$  from  $X$ .

Step 3. Delete  $x_2$  from  $X$  and choose a minimal element  $x_3$  from  $X$ .

...

Step  $n$ . Delete  $x_{n-1}$  from  $X$  and choose the only element  $x_n$  in  $X$ .

1. Find a linear extension of  $(\{1, 2, 3, \dots, n\}, |)$ .
2. Find a linear extension of  $(P(\{1, 2, 3\}), \subseteq)$ .

## Equivalence Relations

A relation  $R$  on  $X$  is called an *equivalence relation* if  $R$  is reflexive, symmetric, and transitive. For an equivalence relation  $R$  on a set  $X$  and an element  $x \in X$ , we call the set  $[x] = \{y \in X : xRy\}$  an *equivalence class* of  $R$  and  $x$  a *representative* of the equivalence class  $[x]$ .

A collection  $P = \{A_1, A_2, \dots, A_k\}$  of nonempty subsets of a set  $X$  is called a *partition* of  $X$  if  $A_i \cap A_j = \emptyset$  for  $i \neq j$  and  $X = \bigcup A_i$ .

**Theorem** If  $R$  is an equivalence relation on a set  $X$ , then the collection

$$P_R = \{[x] : x \in X\}$$

is a partition of  $X$ .

**Theorem** If  $P = \{A_1, A_2, \dots, A_k\}$  is a partition of  $X$ , then the relation

$$R_P = \bigcup A_i \times A_i$$

is an equivalence relation on  $X$ .