

Metadata of the article that will be visualized in OnlineFirst

1	Article Title	The Splitting Problem for Coalgebras: A Direct Approach	
2	Journal Name	Applied Categorical Structures	
3		Family Name	Iovanov
4		Particle	
5		Given Name	Miodrag-Cristian
6	Corresponding	Suffix	
7	Author	Organization	University of Bucharest
8		Division	Faculty of Mathematics
9		Address	Str. Academiei 14, Bucharest RO-010014, Romania
10		e-mail	yovanov@walla.com
11		Received	
12	Schedule	Revised	
13		Accepted	20 August 2006
14	Abstract	In this note we give a new and elementary proof of a result of Năstăsescu and Torrecillas (<i>J. Algebra</i> , 281:144–149, 2004) stating that a coalgebra C is finite dimensional if and only if the rational part of any right module M over the dual algebra is a direct summand in M (the splitting problem for coalgebras).	
15	Keywords separated by ' - '	torsion theory - splitting - coalgebra - 16W30 - 16S90 - 16Lxx - 16Nxx - 18E40	
16	Foot note information	Research supported by a CNCSIS BD-type grant, and by the bilateral project BWS04/04 "New Techniques in Hopf Algebra Theory and Graded Ring Theory" of the Flemish and Romanian governments.	

The Splitting Problem for Coalgebras: A Direct Approach

Miodrag-Cristian Iovanov

Accepted: 20 August 2006
© Springer Science + Business Media B.V. 2006

Q1

Abstract In this note we give a new and elementary proof of a result of Năstăsescu 1
and Torrecillas (*J. Algebra*, 281:144–149, 2004) stating that a coalgebra C is finite 2
dimensional if and only if the rational part of any right module M over the dual 3
algebra C^* is a direct summand in M (the splitting problem for coalgebras). 4

Key words torsion theory · splitting · coalgebra 5

Mathematics Subject Classifications (2000) 16W30 · 16S90 · 16Lxx · 16Nxx · 18E40 6

Introduction 7

Let \mathcal{C} be an Abelian category and \mathcal{A} a closed subcategory of \mathcal{C} . Then we can define 8
the torsion functor $\mathcal{T} : \mathcal{C} \rightarrow \mathcal{A}$ which takes every $X \in \mathcal{C}$ to the subobject $\mathcal{T}(X)$ of 9
 X that equals the sum of all subobjects of X that belong to \mathcal{A} ; we say that $\mathcal{T}(X)$ is 10
the \mathcal{A} -torsion part of X . Then the following general question naturally arises: when 11
is the \mathcal{A} -torsion part $\mathcal{T}(X)$ a direct summand in X for every object X in \mathcal{C} (or in a 12
subclass of \mathcal{C}). This is called the splitting problem of \mathcal{C} with respect to \mathcal{A} . In the case 13
of the category of modules $\mathcal{C} = {}_R\mathcal{M}$ over a commutative ring R one can consider the 14
splitting problem with respect to the subcategory of all torsion modules; Kaplansky 15
proves that the torsion part of every finitely generated module over a commutative 16
domain R is a direct summand in that module if and only if R is Prüfer (see [5] and 17
[6]) and Rotman [10] proves that if this happens for every R -module then R is a field. 18

Research supported by a CNCSIS BD-type grant, and by the bilateral project BWS04/04 “New
Techniques in Hopf Algebra Theory and Graded Ring Theory” of the Flemish and Romanian
governments.

M.-C. Iovanov (✉)
Faculty of Mathematics, University of Bucharest,
Str. Academiei 14, RO-010014 Bucharest, Romania
e-mail: yovanov@walla.com

19 Other general results are proved by Teply (see [11–13]). A canonical subcategory
 20 of any category \mathcal{C} is the Dickson subcategory, which is defined to be the smallest
 21 localizing subcategory of \mathcal{C} that contains all simple subobjects of \mathcal{C} . This category
 22 coincides with the class of all semiartinian objects of \mathcal{C} . Then the splitting problem
 23 with respect to the Dickson subcategory of \mathbb{C} is a general question that makes sense
 24 for any category \mathcal{C} . One can ask whether the splitting with respect to the Dickson
 25 subcategory implies that \mathcal{C} actually coincides to this subcategory. In the case of the
 26 category of modules over an arbitrary ring R this is a classical open problem.

27 Let C be a coalgebra over a field k . The category of left (resp. right) C -comodules
 28 is a full subcategory of the category of right (resp. left) modules over the dual algebra
 29 C^* . Năstăsescu and Torrecillas [8] have shown that the rational part of every right
 30 C^* -module M is a direct summand in M if and only if C is finite dimensional. In
 31 this case, the category of rational right C^* -modules is equal to the category of right
 32 C^* -modules, and also to the Dickson subcategory of \mathcal{M}_{C^*} .

33 The aim of this note is to give a new and elementary proof of this result, based
 34 on general results on modules and comodules, and an old result of Levitzki, stating
 35 that a nil ideal in a right noetherian ring is nilpotent. The proof of Năstăsescu and
 36 Torrecillas involve several techniques of general category theory (such as localiza-
 37 tion), some facts on linearly compact modules and is based on general nontrivial and
 38 profound results of Teply regarding the general splitting problem (see [11–13]). We
 39 first prove that if C has the splitting property, that is, the rational part of every right
 40 C^* -module is a direct summand, then C has only a finite number of isomorphism
 41 types of simple (left or right) comodules. We then observe that the injective envelope
 42 of every right comodule contains only finite dimensional proper subcomodules. This
 43 immediately implies that C^* is right noetherian. Then, using a quite common old idea
 44 from Abelian group theory we use the hypothesis for a direct product of modules
 45 to obtain that every element of J , the Jacobson radical of C^* , is nilpotent. Using a
 46 well known result in noncommutative algebra due to Levitzki, we conclude that J
 47 is nilpotent which combined with the above mentioned key observation immediately
 48 yields that C is finite dimensional.

49 1 The Splitting Problem

50 We first fix some notations and conventions. Denote by ε the counit of the coalgebra
 51 C and by Δ its comultiplication. We use the Sweedler notation convention $\Delta(c) =$
 52 $c_1 \otimes c_2$ for $c \in C$ and the sum sign is omitted. For any $\underline{f} \in C^*$, denote by $\underline{f} : C \rightarrow C$
 53 the right comodule morphism $\underline{f}(x) = f(x_1)x_2$; then \underline{f} is a morphism of right C
 54 comodules. As a key technique, we make use of the algebra isomorphism $C^* \simeq$
 55 $\text{Hom}(C^C, C^C)$ given by $f \mapsto \underline{f}$ (with inverse $\alpha \mapsto \varepsilon \circ \alpha$), where $\text{Hom}(C^C, C^C)$ is a
 56 ring with multiplication given by opposite composition. Also for a right C -comodule
 57 M we have an isomorphism $\text{Hom}^C(M, C) \simeq M^*$, $f \mapsto \varepsilon \circ f$.

58 For a coalgebra C denote by C_0 the coradical of C . In what follows, we will assume
 59 that the coalgebra C has the splitting property for the right C^* -modules, that is, the
 60 rational part of every right C^* module is a direct summand in that module.

61 **Lemma 1.1** *If T is a simple right comodule and $E(T)$ is the injective envelope of*
 62 *T , then $E(T)$ contains only finite dimensional proper subcomodules.*

Proof Let $K \subsetneq E(T)$ be an infinite dimensional subcomodule. Then there is a subcomodule $K \subsetneq F \subset E(T)$ such that F/K is finite dimensional. We have an exact sequence of right C^* -modules:

$$0 \rightarrow (F/K)^* \rightarrow F^* \rightarrow K^* \rightarrow 0$$

As F/K is a finite dimensional rational left C^* module, $(F/K)^*$ is a rational right C^* -module; thus $A = \text{Rat} F^* \neq 0$. Denote by $M = T^\perp = \{u \in F^* \mid u|_T = 0\} \subset F^*$. We first show that F^* is generated by any element $u \in F^* \setminus M$. Indeed for $u \in F^* \setminus M$; define $v \in \text{Hom}^C(F, C)$ by $v(x) = u(x_1)x_2, \forall x \in F$. Then $v|_T \neq 0$ as $u = \varepsilon \circ v$ and $u \notin M$. We have that v is injective, because T is an essential submodule of $F \subseteq E(T)$ and $T \not\subseteq \text{Ker}(v)$. As C is an injective right C comodule and v is injective, we have a commutative diagram:

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} C^* & \xrightarrow{v^*} & F^* & \longrightarrow & 0 \\ \simeq \parallel & & \simeq \parallel & & \\ \text{Hom}^C(C, C) & \xrightarrow{\text{Hom}^C(v, C)} & \text{Hom}^C(F, C) & \longrightarrow & 0 \end{array}$$

where the vertical lines are isomorphisms. We see that $\text{Hom}^C(F, C)$ is generated by v as $\text{Hom}^C(C, C) \simeq C^*$ is generated by 1_C , following that F^* is generated by $v^*(\varepsilon) = \varepsilon \circ v = u$. Now if $F^* = A \oplus B$ as right C^* -modules, we see that A is finitely generated as F^* is, so it must be finite dimensional because it is a rational right C^* -module. Thus $A \neq F^*$ by the initial assumption. But now note that $A \subseteq M$, as otherwise if there is $a \in A \setminus M$ and as a generates F^* we would have $A = F^*$. Also $B \neq F^*$ because $A \neq 0$ so by the same argument $B \subseteq M$, and therefore $F^* = A + B \subseteq M$ which is a contradiction ($\varepsilon|_F \notin M$). □ 80

Let $(S_i)_{i \in I}$ be the set of representatives for the simple left comodules. We may assume that each S_i is a subcomodule of C (see for example [3, 2.4.14]). Let $C_i = \sum_{S \subseteq C, S \simeq S_i} S$. Note that C_i is a left subcomodule as well as a right subcomodule (thus a subcoalgebra) of C . Then $C_0 = \sum_{i \in I} C_i$ because the S_i 's form a complete set of representatives for the simple left C -comodules and C_0 is essential in C (see, for example, [3, 2.4.12]). Also the sum is direct because the S_i 's are pairwise non-isomorphic. Let E_i be an injective envelope of the right comodule C_i ; then $C = \bigoplus_{i \in I} E_i$ (see [3, 2.4.16]). We can identify C^* with the direct product $\prod_{i \in I} E_i^*$ where each E_i^* is identified with the set of all elements of C^* that are zero on all E_j 's with $j \neq i$. Note that for $c^* = ((c_i^*)_{i \in I}) \in C^*$ and $c_j \in C_j$ we have $c_{j1} \otimes c_{j2} \in C_j \otimes C_j$ and then $c_j \cdot c^* = c^*(c_{j1})c_{j2} = \sum_{i \in I} c_i^*(c_{j1})c_{j2} = c_j^*(c_{j1})c_{j2} = c_j \cdot c_j^*$.

Recall that a coalgebra C is almost connected if C_0 is finite dimensional. As the right comodule C is quasifinite, this is equivalent to the fact that there is only a finite number of types of simple right comodules.

Proposition 1.2 *Let C be a coalgebra such that the rational part of every right C^* -module splits off. Then C is almost connected.*

97 *Proof* Consider $M = \prod_{i \in I} S_i$ and take $x = (x_i)_{i \in I} \in M$, such that $x_i \neq 0, \forall i \in I$. If $y =$
 98 $(y_i)_{i \in I} \in M$ then for each i we have $S_i = x_i \cdot C^*$ as $x_i \neq 0$ and S_i is simple, so there
 99 is $c_i^* \in C^*$ such that $x_i \cdot c_i^* = y_i$. Because $x_i \in C_i$, by the previous considerations we
 100 may assume that $c_i^* \in E_i^*$ (that is, it equals zero on all the components of the direct
 101 sum decomposition of C except on E_i) and then there is $c^* \in C^*$ with $c^*|_{E_i} = c_i^*|_{E_i}$
 102 ($c^* = (c_i^*)_{i \in I}$). Then one can easily see that $x_i \cdot c^* = x_i \cdot c_i^* = y_i$, thus we may extend
 103 this to $x \cdot c^* = y$ showing that actually $M = x \cdot C^*$. We have that $Rat(M)$ is a direct
 104 summand in M so it must be finitely generated because M is, so $Rat(M)$ must
 105 be finite dimensional. But $\bigoplus_{i \in I} S_i \subseteq Rat(\prod_{i \in I} S_i)$, and this shows that I must be finite,
 106 equivalently, C is almost connected. \square

107 **Corollary 1.3** C^* is a right noetherian ring.

108 *Proof* Let T be a right simple comodule, $E(T) \subseteq C$ an injective envelope of T and
 109 $C = E(T) \oplus X$ as right C comodules. If $0 \neq I$ is a right C^* -submodule of $E(T)^*$,
 110 then for $0 \neq f \in I$ put $K = Ker \bar{f}$. We have $K^\perp = \{g \in E(T)^* \mid g|_K = 0\} \supseteq f \cdot C^*$, as
 111 $f \in K^\perp$. Conversely, if g is 0 on K , then $K \subseteq Ker \bar{g}$ as K is a right C subcomodule of
 112 $E(T)$ and therefore it factors through \bar{f} , that is, $\exists \alpha \in Hom(C^C, C^C)$ such that $\bar{g} =$
 113 $\alpha \bar{f} = \bar{h} \circ \bar{f} = \overline{fh}$ for $h = \varepsilon \circ \alpha$, so $g = f \cdot h \in f \cdot C^*$. This shows that $K^\perp \subseteq f \cdot C^*$,
 114 so $K^\perp = f \cdot C^*$. As K is finite dimensional by Lemma 1.1, K^\perp has finite codimension
 115 in $E(T)^*$, showing that I has finite codimension ($I \supseteq f \cdot C^* = K^\perp$), which obviously
 116 shows that $E(T)^*$ is noetherian. If $C_0 = \bigoplus_{i \in F} T_i$ with T_i simple right comodules then F
 117 is finite by Proposition 1.2. Therefore, if for each $i \in F$ $E(T_i)$ is an injective envelope
 118 of T_i contained in C , then $C^* = \bigoplus_{i \in F} E(T_i)^*$ as right C^* -modules so C^* is noetherian
 119 as each $E(T_i)^*$ is. \square

120 Put $R = C^*$. Note that $J = C_0^\perp = \{f \mid f|_{C_0} = 0\}$ is the Jacobson radical of R and
 121 $\bigcap_{n \in \mathbb{N}} J^n = 0$. Also if M is a finite dimensional right R -module, we have $M \cdot J^n = 0$ for
 122 some n , because the descending chain of submodules $(MJ^n)_n$ must be stationary and
 123 therefore $MJ^n = MJ^{n+1} = MJ^n \cdot J$ implies $MJ^n = 0$ by Nakayama lemma.

124 **Proposition 1.4** Any element $f \in J$ is nilpotent.

125 *Proof* As C is a finite direct sum of injective envelopes of simple right comodules, it
 126 is enough to show that $f^n|_{E(T)} = 0$ for some n for each simple right subcomodule of C
 127 and injective envelope $E(T) \subseteq C$. Assume the contrary for some fixed data $T, E(T)$.
 128 Let X be a right subcomodule of C such that $C = E(T) \oplus X$ as right C comodules.
 129 As $C^* \simeq E(T)^* \oplus X^*$, we identify the any element f of $E(T)^*$ with the element of
 130 C^* equal to f on $E(T)$ and 0 on X . Define

$$M = \prod_{n \geq 1} \frac{E(T)^*}{K_n^\perp}$$

131 where $K_n = Ker \overline{f^n} \cap E(T) \neq E(T)$ (because otherwise $f^n = 0$) and $K_n^\perp = \{g \in$
 132 $E(T)^* \mid g|_{K_n} = 0\}$. For simplicity, if $f \in E(T)^*$ we convey to write f for the element

$f + K_n^\perp$, the image of f in $E(T)^*/K_n^\perp$. Note that $K_n \subseteq K_{n+1}$. Put $\lambda = (f^{[n/2]})_{n \geq 1} \in M$ 133
 where $[x]$ is the smallest integer greater or equal to x . We have: 134

$$\lambda = (f, f^2, f^2, \dots, f^n, f^n, 0, \dots) + (0, 0, \dots, 0, f^{n+1}, f^{n+1}, f^{n+2}, \dots) = r_n + \mu_n \cdot f^n$$

with $r_n = (f, f^2, f^2, \dots, f^n, f^n, 0, \dots, 0, \dots)$ and $\mu_n = (0, 0, \dots, 0, f, f, f^2, \dots)$ (the 135
 morphisms are always thought to be 0 on X and they are considered modulo 136
 K_n^\perp). But then $r_n \in \prod_{p \leq n} E(T)^*/K_p^\perp \times 0$ which is a rational left C comodule because 137

$E(T)^*/K_p^\perp \simeq K_p^*$ and K_p is finite dimensional by Lemma 1.1. Write $M = \text{Rat}(M) \oplus$ 138
 Λ as right R modules and $\mu_n = q_n + \alpha_n$ with $q_n \in \text{Rat}(M)$ and $\alpha_n \in \Lambda$. Then if $\lambda =$ 139
 $r + \mu$ with $r \in \text{Rat}(M)$ and $\mu \in \Lambda$ we have $r + \mu = r_n + \mu_n \cdot f^n = (r_n + q_n \cdot f^n) +$ 140
 $\alpha_n \cdot f^n$ which shows that $\mu = \mu_n \cdot f^n$. Then if $\mu = (l_p)_{p \geq 1}$ and $\mu_n = (\mu_{n,p})_{p \geq 1}$ we get 141
 that $l_p = \mu_{n,p} \cdot f^n \in (\frac{E(T)^*}{K_p^\perp}) \cdot J^n$ for all p . By the previous remark, $(\frac{E(T)^*}{K_p^\perp}) \cdot J^n = 0$ for 142
 some n (which depends on p) and this shows that $l_p = 0$ for any p and thus $\mu = 0$. 143
 Therefore $\lambda \in \text{Rat}M$, so $\lambda \cdot R$ is finite dimensional and again we get $\lambda \cdot RJ^n = 0$ for 144
 some n . Hence we get $f^{[p/2]+n} = 0$ in $E(T)^*/K_p^\perp$ so $f^{[p/2]+n}|_{K_p} = 0, \forall p$, equivalently 145
 $\bar{f}^{[p/2]+n} = 0$ on K_p (because K_p is a right comodule). For $p = 2n + 1$ we therefore 146
 obtain $K_{2n+1} \subseteq K_{2n}$ so $K_m = K_{m+1}$ for $m = 2n$. Then if $I = \text{Im}(\bar{f}^m), I \neq 0$ by the 147
 assumption ($K_m \neq E(T)$) and there is a simple subcomodule T' of I ; then $\bar{f}|_{T'} = 0$ 148
 (because $f \in J = C_0^\perp$). Take $0 \neq y \in T'$; then $y = \bar{f}^m(x), x \in E(T)$ and $0 = \bar{f}(y) =$ 149
 $\bar{f}^{m+1}(x)$ showing that $x \in K_{m+1} = K_m$ and therefore $y = \bar{f}^m(x) = 0$, a contradiction. 150
□ 151

Theorem 1.5 *If the rational part of every right C^* -module splits off, then C is finite 152
 dimensional.* 153

Proof For a right C -comodule M denote by $l_n(M)$ the n -th term in the Loewy 154
 series of the comodule M . We first show that $C_n = l_n(C)$ is finite dimensional for 155
 all n . We proceed by induction on n ; for $n = 0$ this is Proposition 1.2. Assume the 156
 statement for $0, 1, \dots, n - 1$. Write $C_0 = \bigoplus_{i \in F} T_i$ with T_i simple right C -comodules 157
 and $C = \bigoplus_{i \in F} E(T_i)$ with $E(T_i)$ injective envelopes of the T_i 's. We know that the 158
 set F is finite by Proposition 1.2. We have $C_n = \bigoplus_{i \in F} l_n(E(T_i))$ so it is enough to 159
 show that $l_n(E(T_i))$ is finite dimensional for all $i \in F$. If otherwise, we would have 160
 $l_n(E(T_i)) = E(T_i)$ by Proposition 1.1. But then one can write $E(T_i)/l_{n-1}(E(T_i)) =$ 161
 $l_n(E(T_i))/l_{n-1}(E(T_i)) = T \oplus K$ with T simple finite dimensional, so K must be 162
 infinite dimensional because $l_{n-1}(E(T_i))$ is finite dimensional by the induction hy- 163
 pothesis. In this way we can find an infinite dimensional proper subcomodule of $E(T_i)$ 164
 corresponding to K which is impossible again by Proposition 1.1. 165

By Corollary 1.3 C^* is right noetherian and by Proposition 1.4 every element of J 166
 is nilpotent. Therefore by Levitzki's Theorem (see for example [4, p. 199, Theorem 167
 1] or [9, Cor. II.4.1.5]) we have that J is nilpotent, so $J^n = 0$ for some n . But $C_{n-1} =$ 168
 $(J^n)^\perp = \{x \in C \mid h(x) = 0 \forall h \in J^n\}$ by [3, Cor. 3.1.10], so $C_{n-1} = C$ and therefore C 169
 is finite dimensional. □ 170

171 **Acknowledgements** The author wishes to thank his Ph.D. adviser C. Năstăsescu for very useful
172 remarks on the subject as well as for his continuous support throughout the past years. He also
173 wishes to thank the referee for his/her suggestions.

174 References

- Q2 175 1. Anderson, D., Fuller, K.: Rings and categories of modules. In: Graduate Texts in Mathematics.
176 Springer, Berlin Heidelberg New York (1974)
- Q2 177 2. Doi, Y.: Homological coalgebra. *J. Math. Soc. Japan* **33**, 31–50 (1981)
- 178 3. Dăscălescu, S., Năstăsescu, C., Raianu, Ş.: Hopf algebras: an introduction. In: Pure and Applied
179 Mathematics, vol. 235. Marcel Dekker, New York (2001)
- 180 4. Jacobson, N.: Structure of rings. In: Colloquium Publ. 37. American Mathematical Society,
181 Providence, 1956 (1964)
- 182 5. Kaplansky, I.: Modules over Dedekind rings and valuation rings. *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.* **72**,
183 327–340 (1952)
- Q2 184 6. Kaplansky, I.: A characterization of Prüfer domains. *J. Indian Math. Soc.* **24**, 279–281 (1960)
- 185 7. Kaplansky, I.: Infinite Abelian Groups. University Michigan Press, Ann Arbor, MI (1954)
- 186 8. Năstăsescu, C., Torrecillas, B.: The splitting problem for coalgebras. *J. Algebra* **281**, 144–149
187 (2004)
- 188 9. Năstăsescu, C.: Rings, Modules, Categories. Romanian Academy Publishing Press, Bucharest
189 (1975)
- 190 10. Rotman, J.: A characterization of fields among integral domains. *An. Acad. Brasil. Cienc.* **32**,
191 193–194 (1960)
- 192 11. Teply, M.L.: The torsion submodule of a cyclic module splits off. *Canad. J. Math.* **24**, 450–464
193 (1972)
- 194 12. Teply, M.L.: A History of the Progress on the Singular Splitting Problem. Departamento de
195 Álgebra y Fundamentos, Universidad de Murcia, Murcia, Spain (1984)
- 196 13. Teply, M.L.: Generalizations of the simple torsion class and the splitting properties. *Canad. J.*
197 *Math.* **27**, 1056–1074 (1975)

AUTHOR QUERIES

AUTHOR PLEASE ANSWER ALL QUERIES

- Q1. Please provide complete history dates.
- Q2. Please provide citations for References [1, 2, 7].

UNCORRECTED PROOF